THE ZIEGLER SPECTRUM OF THE D-INFINITY PLANE SINGULARITY

INNA LOS AND GENA PUNINSKI

Abstract. We will describe the Cohen–Macaulay part of the Ziegler spectrum of the D_∞ plane singularity S and calculate the nilpotency index of the radical of the category of finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay S-modules.

arXiv:1612.00002v1 [math.AC] 30 Nov 2016

1. Introduction

A local commutative noetherian ring is said to be of **countable** (or **discrete**) **Cohen–Macaulay representation type** if it has only countably (infinitely) many non-isomorphic finitely generated maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules. For complete 1-dimensional surfaces the classification of [3] shows that there are essentially two possibilities: $R = F[[x,y]]/(x^2)$, the A_∞ plane singularity; and $S = F[[x,y]]/(x^2y)$, the D_∞ plane singularity.

The model theory of Cohen–Macaulay R-modules was investigated in [15]. In particular the Cohen–Macaulay part of the Ziegler spectrum of R, ZCM_R, was described, and the nilpotency index of the radical of the category of finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay modules was calculated. In this paper we will address similar questions for the ring S. By factoring out x^2 we obtain a natural surjection $S \to R$, hence an embedding from ZCM_R onto a closed subset of ZCM_S. Our initial feeling was that the Cantor–Bendixson rank of points in the former space will jump to higher values via this embedding (see [10] or [16] for dealing with this effect). However this is not the case — we will show that the points preserve their ranks in the ambient space; and the Cantor–Bendixson rank of ZCM_S equals 2.

From point of view of properties we will investigate in this paper, the category of Cohen–Macaulay modules over S is a 'double' of the corresponding category of R-modules. For instance the Krull–Gabriel dimension of the definable category generated by finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay modules equals 2 for R and for S. Further, similarly to the A_∞-case, one can glue from the Auslander–Reiten quiver of the category of finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay S-modules the Ringel quilt, which is the Möbius stripe.

This gives a global geometric structure to the above category including objects, but also morphisms, - the part which is so often neglected. Using this realization we will show that the nilpotency index of the radical of this category equals $\omega \cdot 2$. Note that, by Schröer [21, Prop. 6.1], for finite dimensional algebras this index cannot be equal to a limit ordinal.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C14 (primary), 13L05, 16D50.

Key words and phrases. Ziegler spectrum, Krull–Gabriel dimension, Cohen–Macaulay module, D-infinity plane singularity.

To classify points of the space ZCM_S, i.e. indecomposable pure injective Cohen–Macaulay modules, we will employ the so-called 'interval method' which is based on Ziegler's results [23]. Namely each point in this space is uniquely determined by a nontrivial cut it creates on a given interval in the lattice of positive primitive formulae. Taking intervals means to take open sets covering the category of finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay modules considered as a surface. We will show that two basic open sets are sufficient to detect all points of this space and calculate their bases of open sets in Ziegler topology.

Due to many technicalities involved in proofs, we will be quite concise when executing them in detail. Say, the description of irreducible morphisms between finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay modules over S is known to experts in this area, but no written account seems to exist. So we rely on 'believe or check' approach when explaining this matter. Further, because the model theoretic part of this paper is similar to the A_∞-case, which was carefully explained in [15], we will allow themselves to be quite sketchy on some instances.

The point of this paper is to propel the method rather than calculations. It proved to be successful for some classes of finite dimensional algebras and some Cohen–Macaulay singularities. The reason for this is obvious: both finite dimensional modules, and finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay modules over complete Cohen–Macaulay rings are pure injective.

2. The ring

In this part we will introduce the object of our interest, the ring S, and mention its basic properties which will be used in the paper. Let F be an algebraically closed field whose characteristic is different from 2, and let $S = F[[x, y]]/(x^2y)$ be the factor of the ring of power series $F[[x, y]]$ by the ideal generated by x^2y, the so-called D_∞ **plane singularity**. Many properties of S can be extracted from fairly general commutative algebra.

In particular, S is a local complete 1-dimensional commutative noetherian ring with zero divisors. Namely if P is a prime ideal of S then $x^2y = 0$ yields $x \in P$ or $y \in P$ (or both). It follows easily that $P = xS$, $P = yS$ or $P = \mathbf{m} = (x, y)$, the maximal ideal of S.

Note that there is a natural isomorphism $S/x^2S \cong R = F[[x, y]]/(x^2)$, therefore every R-module is an S-module. Further $x^2S \cong S/yS \cong F[[x]]$, hence writing $F[[x]]$ or $F((x))$, the field of Laurent power series, we mean the corresponding S-module. Also $xyS \cong S/xS \cong F[[y]]$, hence similar applies to $F[[y]]$ and $F((y))$.

The ring theoretical properties of R and its modules can be found in [15], - we will use them freely. For instance the quotient ring Q_R of R is a uniform module, and the integral closure $\widetilde{R}$ of R in Q_R is a non-noetherian valuation ring of Krull dimension one. Further $\widetilde{R}$ can be identified with $R + xQ_R$.

Because S is 1-dimensional, it suffices to invert any nonzero divisor, say $x + y$, to obtain its ring of quotients Q_S, for instance $Q_S = S[(x + y)^{-1}]$. Namely $T = (x + y)^{-k}$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ is a multiplicative closed set, and the localization ST^{-1} has only two prime ideals xST^{-1} and yST^{-1}, both consisting of zero divisors. It follows that each nonzero divisor in this ring is invertible, hence $ST^{-1} = Q_S$.

For basic facts in the theory of Cohen–Macaulay rings and modules see [11] or [22]. We will use the homological definition of this notion. Because S is 1-dimensional, a finitely generated S-module M is said to be **Cohen–Macaulay**, CM, if $\mathrm{Hom}(F, M) = 0$. Clearly this is the same as $\mathrm{Soc}(M) = 0$, i.e. $mx = my = 0$ for $m \in M$ implies $m = 0$. For instance the ring S itself is **Cohen–Macaulay**.

Furthermore S is **Gorenstein**, that is of injective dimension 1. Namely $x + y$ is a nonzero divisor, and the ring $S/(x + y)S \cong F[[x]]/(x^3)$ has simple socle, hence (see [6, Prop. 21.5]) is zero-dimensional Gorenstein. Then S is Gorenstein by [2, Prop. 3.1.19 b)].

Also S has uniform dimension 2, therefore its injective envelope $I(S)$ is a decomposable module. In fact, because S is noetherian, by [2, Prop. 2.9], we have the following injective resolution.

$$0 \to S \to I(S/xS) \oplus I(S/yS) \to I(S/\mathbf{m}) \to 0\,.$$

From $S/yS \cong F[[x]]$ and $x^2y = 0$ it follows that $I(S/yS)$ is annihilated by y, hence $I(S/yS) \cong F((x))$. Similarly $S/xS \cong F[[y]]$ implies that x^2 annihilates $I(S/xS)$, therefore $I(S/xS) \cong Q_R$, the quotient ring of R.

Comparing this with the injective resolution $0 \to S \to Q_S \to Q_S/S \to 0$ (see Matlis [12, Thm. 13.1]) we conclude that $Q_S \cong F((x)) \oplus Q_R$ and $Q_S/S \cong I(S/\mathbf{m})$, the minimal injective cogenerator in the category of S-modules.

By $\widetilde{S}$ we will denote the integral closure of S in Q_S. If $u = x(x + y)^{-1}$ then $u^2 = u^3$, hence $u \in \widetilde{S}$, and $e = u^2$ is an idempotent in $\widetilde{S}$. Now we can see the above decomposition of Q_S more clearly.

Lemma 2.1. *$Q_S = x^2Q_S \oplus yQ_S$. Further $x^2Q_S = eQ_S \cong F((x))$ and $yQ_S = (1 - e)Q_S \cong Q_R$.*

Proof. Since $e \in Q_S$ is an idempotent, we have $Q_S = eQ_S \oplus (1 - e)Q_S$. Clearly $eQ_S = x^2Q_S \cong F((x))$. Further $1 - e = y(2x + y)(x + y)^{-2} \in yQ_S$, therefore $(1 - e)Q_S \subseteq yQ_S$. Also $ye = 0$ implies $y \in (1 - e)Q_S$, from which the inverse inclusion follows.

Finally $yQ_S \cong Q_R$ by sending y to 1. □

We will consider Q_S as the **universal domain** which contains all objects of our interest. In particular we identify R_S with $yS \subset Q_S$; and Q_R, considered as an S-module, with yQ_S.

Recall that an **overring** of S is any subring of the integral closure $\widetilde{S}$ containing S. Since the ideal $\mathbf{m}^2$ requires 3 generators, it follows (see [11, Thm. 4.18]) that S is not **Bass**, i.e. there is an overring of S which is not Gorenstein. We will pinpoint such an overring.

Lemma 2.2. *There is the least proper overring $S' = \mathrm{End}_S(\mathbf{m})$ of S generated over S by $z = x^2(x + y)^{-1}$. Further S' is a local non-Gorenstein ring which coincides with the endomorphism ring of S' considered as an S-module.*

Proof. Because S is Gorenstein, it follows (this fact is called the rejection lemma in Kiev school) that S has the least proper overring S'. It can be identified with the endomorphism ring of $\mathbf{m}$:

$S' = S[z]$ where $z = x^2(x+y)^{-1} \in \widetilde{S}$. For instance multiplication by z defines the endomorphism of $\mathbf{m}$ which sends x to x^2 and y to zero.

In fact (see [11, Exam. 14.23]) S' is isomorphic to the ring obtained from $F[[x,y,z]]$ by imposing relations $z^2 = zx = x^2$ and $yz = 0$, the so-called E_∞**-singularity**. Clearly S' is a local ring whose Jacobson radical is generated by x, y, z.

Further S' is no longer Gorenstein. Namely $x+y$ is a nonzero divisor in S', and $S'/(x+y)S'$ is isomorphic to the ring obtained from $F[[x,z]]$ by imposing relations $z^2 = zx = x^2 = 0$. This ring has a 2-dimensional socle, hence is not Gorenstein, therefore the same holds true for S'.

Since the image of each $f \in \mathrm{End}_S(S')$ is uniquely determined by $f(1) \in S'$ it follows that $\mathrm{End}_S(S')$ coincides with S', in particular S'_S is an indecomposable module. □

Next we will describe the integral closure of S. Recall that $u = x(x+y)^{-1} \in \widetilde{S}$ and $e = u^2 = x^2(x+y)^{-2}$ is an idempotent.

Lemma 2.3. $\widetilde{S} = S[u] + xyQ_S$.

Proof. Clearly $xyQ_S \subseteq \widetilde{S}$, because this ideal is nilpotent, therefore $S[u] + xyQ_S \subseteq \widetilde{S}$.

To prove the converse inclusion note that $u = x(x+y)^{-1} \in \widetilde{S}$ implies $x^k(x+y)^{-k} \in \widetilde{S}$; and similarly $y^k(x+y)^{-k} \in \widetilde{S}$. Further clearly $x(x+y)^{-2} \notin S$. By multiplying this by e we conclude that $x^k(x+y)^{-l}$ is not in $\widetilde{S}$ as soon as $1 \le k < l$, and the same holds true for $y^k(x+y)^{-l}$. It remains to show that each nonzero element $(\sum_{i<k} \alpha_i x^i + \sum_{j<k} \beta_j y^j)(x+y)^{-k}$ is not in $\widetilde{S}$ if $k \ge 1$, but this is easily checked. □

From this we obtain the following decomposition of $\widetilde{S}_S$.

Lemma 2.4. $\widetilde{S}_S \cong e\widetilde{S} \oplus (1-e)\widetilde{S}$, *where* $e\widetilde{S} = eS$ *is isomorphic to* $x^2S = F[[x]]$ *and* $(1-e)\widetilde{S} = y^2(x+y)^{-1}S + xyQ_S$ *is isomorphic to* $\widetilde{R}$ *(as* S*-modules).*

Proof. Since $exy = 0$ and $eu = e$, by Lemma 2.3 we conclude that $e\widetilde{S} = eS$. Also $eS = x^2(x+y)^{-2}S$ is isomorphic to x^2S as an S-module.

Further $1 - e = y(2x+y)(x+y)^{-2}$ equals $z' = y^2(x+y)^{-2}$ modulo xyQ_S. Since $z'u \in xyQ_S$, we obtain $(1-e)\widetilde{S} = z'S + xyQ_S$. It is easily checked that the map $z' \mapsto 1$ extends uniquely to an isomorphism from this submodule onto $\widetilde{R}_S$. □

It will be easier to deal with another copy of $\widetilde{R}$ within Q_S. Namely is its easily shown that the submodule $yS + xyQ_S$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{R}_S$ via the map $y \mapsto 1$. We will identify $\widetilde{R}_S$ with this submodule.

We extend the above definition of finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay modules to arbitrary modules. Namely an S-module M is said to be **Cohen–Macaulay** if $\mathrm{Hom}(F, M) = 0$, i.e. if $\mathrm{Soc}(M) = 0$.

Clearly CM-modules over S form a **definable class (category)**, i.e. (see [5] or [9]) this class is closed with respect to direct products, direct limits and pure submodules. It is defined by the first order sentence $\forall x\,(vx = 0 \wedge vy = 0 \to v = 0)$, where v denotes a variable; therefore we obtain the **theory** T_{CM} whose models are CM-modules.

Recall (see [13, Sect. 3.3.4]) that there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between definable categories of modules over any ring U and first order theories of U-modules whose models are closed with respect to direct products. We will use these terms as synonymous.

3. Finitely generated CM-modules

In this section we recall the classification of indecomposable finitely generated CM-modules over S. It can be combined from [22, 20, 11], for instance we will use notation of [22, p. 78]. In particular each indecomposable finitely generated CM-module is isomorphic to either an ideal of S, or a submodule of S^2. We will mention this realization, but prefer to define such modules using generators and relations.

1). S, $A = x^2S$, $B = yS$, $C = xyS$ and $D = xS$. Note that $Bx^2 = Cx^2 = 0$, hence B and C are R-modules.

2). For each $k \geq 1$ the module M_k is given by generators m, n and relations $mx = ny^k$, $nx = 0$; for instance here is a diagram for M_2.

M_2



It is easily seen that the map $m \mapsto y^{k+1}$ and $n \mapsto xy$ gives an isomorphism from M_k onto the ideal (y^{k+1}, xy) of S. Further M is annihilated by x^2, hence is a CM-module over R.

Also M_k is isomorphic to the submodule of Q_S generated by $m = y$ and $n = xy(x + y)^{-k}$.

3). For each $k \geq 1$ the module Y_k is given by generators m, n and relations $mx = ny^k$, $nxy = 0$; here is a diagram for Y_2.

Y_2



For instance Y_k is isomorphic to the ideal (y^k, x) of S via the map $m \mapsto y^k$ and $n \mapsto x$, in particular $Y_1 \cong \mathbf{m}$.

4). The module X_k, $k \geq 1$ is generated by m, n with relations $mxy = ny^k$ and $nx = 0$; here is a diagram for X_1.

X_1



For instance X_1 is isomorphic to S'_S via the map $m \mapsto 1$ and $n \mapsto x - z$.

Further X_k is isomorphic to the submodule of S^2 generated by $m = (y^k, x)$ and $n = (xy, 0)$; and can be identified with the submodule of Q_S generated by $m = 1$ and $n = xy(x + y)^{-k}$.

5). The module N_k, $k \geq 1$ is generated by m, n with relations $mxy = ny^{k+1}$ and $nxy = 0$; here is the diagram for N_1.

N_1 

For instance N_k is isomorphic to the submodule of S^2 generated by $m = (y^k, x)$ and $n = (x, 0)$.

The following is the AR-quiver of the category of finitely generated CM-modules over S extrapolated from Yoshino [22, p. 78] and [20, p. 25], except of the precise form of irreducible maps.



The irreducible morphisms on this diagram resemble irreducible morphisms between string modules (see [4]) given by graph maps: first factor, and then embed. They could be guessed and checked. Here is a complete list.

1). $Y_k \to M_k$ is given by factoring out nx.

2). $Y_k \to N_{k-1}$, $k \geq 2$ sends $m \mapsto my$ and $n \mapsto n$.

3). $M_k \to Y_{k+1}$ sends $m \mapsto m$ and $n \mapsto ny$.

4). $M_k \to X_k$ is given by $m \mapsto my$ and $n \mapsto n$.

5). $X_k \to N_k$ is provided by $m \mapsto m$ and $n \mapsto ny$.

6). $X_k \to M_{k-1}$, $k \geq 2$ is given by annihilating $mx - ny^{k-1}$.

7). $N_k \to Y_k$ is provided by gluing mx with ny^k.

8). $N_k \to X_{k+1}$ is given by annihilating nx.

N_k 

X_{k+1}

Here we show by the black square the element of the first module which is send to zero by this morphism.

9). $B \to Y_1$ sends $y \mapsto m$, hence is the inclusion $yS \subset \mathbf{m}$.



FIGURE 1.

10). $Y_1 \to A$ is given by $n \mapsto x^2$ and $m \mapsto 0$. If we identify Y_1 with $\mathbf{m}$ by setting $m = y$ and $n = x$, then this map is given by multiplication by z.

11). $X_1 \to B$ is given by gluing mx and n, hence by factoring out z in $S' = X_1$.

12). $S \to X_1$ sends $1 \mapsto m$, hence is the inclusion $S \subset S'$.

13). $Y_1 \to S$ sends $m \mapsto y$ and $n \mapsto x$, hence is the inclusion $\mathbf{m} \subset S$.

14). $A \to X_1$ is given by sending $x^2 \mapsto mx - n$.

15). $C \to D$ is the inclusion and $D \to C$ is given by multiplication by y.

On Figure 1 we show the unbridged version of the AR-quiver, which includes the relations between irreducible morphisms. We mark the finitely generated CM-modules over R by solid circles, - they comprise roughly a quarter of the category of finitely generated CM-modules over S.

For instance there are the following almost split sequences in the category of finitely generated CM-modules.

$$0 \to M_k \to Y_{k+1} \oplus X_k \to N_k \to 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad 0 \to N_k \to Y_k \oplus X_{k+1} \to M_k \to 0\,.$$

$$0 \to Y_k \to M_k \oplus N_{k-1} \to X_k \to 0,\ k \geq 2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad 0 \to Y_1 \to M_1 \oplus S \oplus A \to X_1 \to 0\,.$$

Symmetrically we obtain the following AR-sequences.

$$0 \to X_k \to M_{k-1} \oplus N_k \to Y_k \to 0,\ k \geq 2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad 0 \to X_1 \to N_1 \oplus B \to Y_1 \to 0\,.$$

$$0 \to A \to X_1 \to B \to 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad 0 \to B \to Y_1 \to A \to 0\,.$$

Note that S is projective and Ext-injective in the category of finitely generated CM-modules, hence no almost split sequence starts or ends in S. Also neither C nor D is a source or sink of an AR-sequence in this category.

Thus the AR-quiver consists of 2 components: the top one consisting of modules C and D, and the bottom one. To explain why C or D are located as shown on Figure 1, note that the composite morphism $Y_{k+1} \to N_k \to Y_k$ is just the inclusion of ideals $(y^{k+1}, x) \subset (y^k, x)$. The inverse limit (i.e. the intersection) along the coray of morphisms going through the Y_k in the northwestern direction equals $xS = D$. This is why D is positioned at the end of this coray.

Similarly the composite map $M_k \to X_k \to M_{k-1}$ is the inclusion of ideals $(y^{k+1}, xy) \subset (y^k, xy)$, therefore $C = xyS$ is the inverse limit of the coray of morphisms going through the M_k in the northwestern direction.

Note (see [6, Thm. 21.21] for a general statement) that there is a duality of the category of finitely generated CM-modules over S given by the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(-, S)$. It is easily checked that this duality interchanges X_k with Y_k, but fixes the M_k, N_k and A, B, C, D, S.

4. Some basics in model theory

In this section we will recall few notions from the model theory of modules which are relevant to this paper. We will use Prest's book [13] as a main source for references.

Suppose that U is a commutative ring. A **positive primitive formula** $\varphi(v)$ in one free variable v is an existential formula $\exists\, \overline{w}\, (\overline{w}A = v\bar{b})$ in the language of U-modules, where $\overline{w} = (w_1, \ldots, w_k)$ is a tuple of bound variables, A is a $k \times l$ matrix over U, and $\overline{b}$ is a column of height l with entries in U. If M is an U-module and $m \in M$ then we say that M **satisfies** $\varphi(m)$, written $M \models \varphi(m)$, if there exists a tuple $\overline{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_k)$ of elements in M such that $\overline{m}A = m\overline{b}$ holds.

For instance, if $r \in U$, then the **divisibility formula** $r \mid v \doteq \exists\, w\, (wr = v)$ is satisfied by an element $m \in M$ iff $m \in Mr$. Similarly, the **annihilator formula** $vs = 0$ holds on $m \in M$ iff $ms = 0$. Let φ and ψ be pp-formulae. We say that φ **implies** ψ, written $\varphi \to \psi$, if, for each pair $m \in M$, from $M \models \varphi(m)$ it follows that $M \models \psi(m)$. For instance the divisibility formula $rs \mid v$ implies $s \mid v$.

The implication relation defines a partial ordering $\leq$ on the set of pp-formulas. We say that the pp-formulae φ and ψ are **equivalent** if both implications $\varphi \to \psi$ and $\psi \to \varphi$ hold. If we identify equivalent pp-formulas, the resulting poset is a modular lattice L called the **lattice of pp-formulae** (in one variable) over U. For instance the zero formula $v = 0$ is the least element in this lattice, and the trivial formula $v = v$ is the largest element of L. Further the meet in this lattice is the conjunction of pp-formulae, and the join is the sum of pp-formulae: $(\varphi + \psi)(v) \doteq \exists\, w\, (\varphi(w) \wedge \psi(v - w))$.

If φ is a pp-formula and M is an U-module, then $\varphi(M) = \{m \in M \mid M \models \varphi(m)\}$ is the **definable submodule** of M. For instance the divisibility formula $r \mid v$ defines the submodule Mr, and the annihilator formula $vs = 0$ defines the submodule consisting of $m \in M$ such that

$ms = 0$. This way we will obtain the **lattice of pp-definable submodules of** M, $L(M)$, which is the factor of the lattice L of pp-formulae by certain congruence relation.

The correspondence $M \mapsto \varphi(M)$ defines the finitely generated subfunctor of the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(U, -)$ from the category of finitely presented U-modules to the category of abelian groups, in fact the pp-formulae can be introduced as such additive functors.

There are 'minimal' realizations of pp-formulae, called free realizations. Namely we say that the pointed module (M, m) is a **free realization of a pp-formula** φ if 1) $M \models \varphi(m)$ and 2) if $M \models \psi(m)$ for some pp-formula ψ, then φ implies ψ. Though minimal realization is never unique, each pp-formula has a free realization (M, m) such that M is a finitely presented module. For instance (U, r) is a free realization of the divisibility formula $r \mid x$, and $\overline{1} \in U/sU$ freely realizes the annihilator formula $vs = 0$. We will often write $m \in M$ to denote the pointed module (M, m). Further each finitely presented pointed module $m \in M$ is a free realization of some pp-formula φ (which generates the pp-type of m in M, - see definitions below).

If M is finitely presented, then the above condition 2) means that for each pointed module (N, n) satisfying φ there is a **pointed morphism** from (M, m) to (N, n), i.e. a morphism $f : M \to N$ such that $f(m) = n$.

All this can be relativized to any definable class of modules. For instance let us consider the class of Cohen–Macaulay modules over S. Some nonequivalent pp-formulae in L get identified when restricted to CM-modules, hence we obtain the **lattice** of CM-formulae, L_{CM}, as a proper factor of L. The following arguments show that this definable class is **covariantly finite** (see [8]), i.e. admits finitely generated free realizations.

Take any pp-formulae φ over S and choose a free realization $m \in M$ for this formula, where M is a finitely generated S-module. Factor out the socle of M obtaining $M' = M/\operatorname{Soc}(M)$, then kick off the socle of M' and so on. Since M is noetherian, in finitely many steps we will obtain a CM-module $\overline{M}$ over S. Let $\overline{m}$ denote the canonical image of m in this module and let a pp-formula φ_{CM} generate the pp-type of $\overline{m}$ in $\overline{M}$. Directly from the definition we obtain the following.

1) $\overline{\varphi}$ implies φ in the theory of all S-modules.

2) $\overline{\varphi}$ is equivalent to φ in the theory of CM-modules over S.

We will call the formulae of the form φ_{CM} the CM**-formulae** and use pointed modules $\overline{m} \in \overline{M}$ to denote them. Thus the lattice L_{CM} can be described as follows. It consists of equivalence classes of pointed finitely generated CM-modules over S, where $(M, m) \leq (N, n)$ if there exists a pointed morphism from the latter module to the former. For instance the formula $x^2 \in S$ implies $x \in S$, but these formulae are not equivalent.

Further, the sum of CM-formulas $m \in M$ and $n \in N$ is given by the pointed module $(m, n) \in M \oplus N$, and their conjunction is defined using the following pushout.



5. The first interval

In this section we will create the first cut along the category CM_{fg} of finitely generated CM-modules over S, i.e. we calculate a particular interval in the lattice of CM-formulae, which later will be used as a net to catch indecomposable pure injective modules. For this we use the following notion borrowed (with some adjustments) from Ringel [17, Sect. 3], where he calculates the hammock functors.

Suppose that (M, m) is a pointed module, where M is an indecomposable finitely generated CM-module over S. Consider the set $P(M)$ of all pointed modules (N, n) such that N is an indecomposable finitely generated CM-module, and there is a pointed morphism from (M, m) to (N, n). In particular if (M, m) realizes a pp-formula φ freely and (N, m) is a free realization of ψ, then ψ implies φ.

We introduce the partial order on P by setting $(N, n) \geq (K, k)$ if there is a pointed morphism from the former module to the latter. Finally we factor this collection of pointed modules by the corresponding equivalence relation ($\leq$ and $\geq$) to obtain the **pattern** of M. Thus $P(M)$ is a partially ordered set with the least element (corresponding to the zero morphism), and the largest element 1_M; however it is not clear that this poset is a lattice.

We keep in mind the interpretation of this poset in terms of pp-formulae: if (M, m) is a free realization of φ, then $P(M)$ consists of formulae in the interval $[v = 0, \varphi]_{CM}$ with indecomposable free realizations, i.e. of formulae which are **+-irreducible**. Thus the pattern is the 'frame' of the corresponding interval in the lattice of CM-formulae.

Because (see Section 3) we know indecomposable finitely generated CM-modules, the calculation of patterns is straightforward. Here is an important example.

Proposition 5.1. *The diagram on Figure 2 shows the pattern of the pointed module* (S, x^2).

Proof. To calculate this pattern we should look at the image of x^2 with respect to morphisms f from S to indecomposable finitely generated CM-modules M. Further we will identify morphism f and g such that $f(x^2)$ and $g(x^2)$ are free realizations of equivalent pp-formulas. For example if $f(x^2) = 0$ then f represent the zero element in the pattern. Also the irreducible morphism $S \to X_1$ which sends $1 \mapsto m$ shows that (S, x^2) covers (X_1, mx^2) in the pattern.

Note that there is an almost split morphism $f : X_1 \to N_1 \oplus B$, and the image of mx^2 via this map is $(mx^2, 0)$; hence f is equivalent to the irreducible morphism $X_1 \to N$ given by the first component of f. It follows that $mx^2 \in N_1$ is the largest formula strictly below $mx^2 \in X_1$. The rest is by inspection. □



FIGURE 2.

We have already noticed that Figure 2 represents the frame of the interval below the formula $x^2 \mid v$ in the lattice of CM-formulae. We will recover the whole structure of this interval. First we will prove an auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *The interval* $[v = 0, x^2 \mid v]$ *in the lattice* L_{CM} *of all* CM*-formulae over* S *is distributive.*

Proof. Recall that a module is said to be **uniserial** if its lattice of submodules is a chain.

By [14, Prop. 4.4] it suffices to prove that the formula $x^2 \mid v$, when evaluated on an indecomposable finitely generated CM-module M over S, defines a submodule which is uniserial as a module over the endomorphism ring of M. For instance, there is nothing to prove for M_k, since $M_k x^2 = 0$.

The most difficult case is N_k, - let $V = \mathrm{End}(N_k)$. Note that there exists an endomorphism of this module sending $m \mapsto n$, $n \mapsto 0$; and there is an endomorphism such that $m \mapsto my - n$,

$n \mapsto mx$. Using this we conclude that nonzero endosubmodules of N_k contained in $N_k x^2$ form the following chain: $Vmx^2 \supset Vnx^2 \supset Vmx^3 \supset \dots$. □

Now we are in a position to describe the lattice of CM-formulae in the above interval. Since every finitely generated CM-module is a direct sum of indecomposable modules, it follows that each formula in this interval is equivalent to a sum $\varphi_1 + \dots + \varphi_n$ of formulae with free realization given by modules on Figure 2. Of course if φ_i implies φ_j in this sum, the former formula can be skipped. Because most formulas on the diagram are comparable, it remains to consider only what happens if we add, say, $x^4 \in A$ to $x^3 \in S$.

This situation admits a very general analysis. By using distributivity (Lemma 5.2) and the fact that the endomorphism ring of each indecomposable CM-module on the diagram is local, as in [15, Prop. 4.3] we derive the following. If $\varphi = \sum_i \varphi_i$ and $\psi = \sum_j \psi_j$, where φ_i and ψ_j are pp-formulae on the diagram, then φ implies ψ only by the trivial reason: if for each i there exists j such that $\varphi_i \leq \psi_j$ in the pattern.

Thus to get the interval $[v = 0, x^2 \mid v]$ from the pattern we need only insert additional formulas $(A, x^{k+1}) + (S, x^k)$, $k \geq 3$ above each summand and strictly below the formula (Y_1, nx^{k-1}). For instance we will obtain the following diagram below (Y_1, nx^2), where the top interval is simple. It corresponds to the projection $Y_1 \to A \oplus S$ onto the first two coordinates of the left almost split morphism $Y_1 \to A \oplus S \oplus M_1$.



It also follows that the conjunction of pp-formulas in this interval coincides with the intersection in the pattern. Thus the pattern consists of +-irreducible elements of the interval, and is a lattice by itself, but the sums in these lattices may be different.

6. The Ziegler spectrum

In this section we classify points of the Cohen–Macaulay part of the Ziegler spectrum of S.

Let U be a commutative ring. An inclusion of U-modules $M \subseteq N$ is said to be **pure** if, for each pp-formula φ and an element $m \in M$, from $N \models \varphi(m)$ it follows that $M \models \varphi(m)$. For instance each injective module is pure in any module containing it. This definition is obviously extended to embedding of modules.

A module M is said to be **pure injective** if it is injective with respect to pure embeddings. For instance each injective module is pure injective, so as (see [18]) any module which is linearly compact over its endomorphism ring. Since U is commutative, each linearly compact module is pure injective.

The **Ziegler spectrum of** U, Zg_U, is a topological space whose points are indecomposable pure injective modules. The topology on this space is given by **basic open sets** (φ/ψ), where φ and ψ are pp-formulae. Here (φ/ψ) consists of points $M \in \mathrm{Zg}_S$ such that $\varphi(M)$ is not contained in $\psi(M)$. For instance if $r \in U$, then the pair $vr = 0$ over $v = 0$ defines the open set consisting of indecomposable pure injective modules with r-torsion. Each basic open set is compact, in particular Zg_U is a quasi-compact space which is usually non-Hausdorff.

Definable classes (categories) $\mathcal{D}$ of U-modules can be identified with closed subsets of the Ziegler spectrum whose points are indecomposable pure injective modules in $\mathcal{D}$, and the topology is induced from the whole spectrum. This way we obtain the Ziegler spectrum of this category. For instance, by considering the category of Cohen–Macaulay S-modules we will obtain the **Cohen-Macaulay part of the Ziegler spectrum** of S, written ZCM_S. To investigate this topological space is the main objective of this paper.

First we create a sufficient supply of points in this space.

Lemma 6.1. *Each indecomposable finitely generated* CM*-module over S is pure injective, hence is the point of* ZCM_S*. Further the same holds true for Q_R, $\widetilde{R}$, and the generic modules $G_y = F((y))$, $G_x = F((x))$.*

Proof. It is not difficult to check that each module in the above list is linearly compact, which implies pure injectivity. For instance for R-modules in this list, hence for B, C, M_k and $Q_R, \widetilde{R}, G_y$, this follows from [15]. □

We need to add one more module to this list. If we identify the module X_k with the submodule of Q_S generated by 1 and $n_k = xy(x+y)^{-k}$, $k = 1, \dots$, then these modules form the natural ray of inclusions, via $n_k \mapsto n_{k+1}y$, going in the northeastern direction on Figure 1. The direct limit along this ray will give us the CM-module N, which is the submodule of Q_S generated by 1 and the n_k.



Lemma 6.2. *N is indecomposable and pure injective as an S-module, hence is a point in* ZCM_S*.*

Proof. Let N' be the submodule of N generated by the n_k, hence $N' = xyQ_S$. Clearly N' is isomorphic to G_y, hence uniserial. Further N' is a linearly compact module, so as the factor module $N/N' \cong D = xS$. It follow that N is linearly compact, hence pure injective.

It is straightforward to check that the endomorphism of N_S is N itself, which is a local ring. Thus N_S is an indecomposable module, hence is a point in ZCM_S. □

The following property is similar to what is known for finite dimensional algebras (see [13, Cor. 5.3.37]).

Lemma 6.3. *Finitely generated points are dense in the* CM*-part of the Ziegler spectrum of* S*.*

Proof. Clearly each CM-module over S is a direct limit of its finitely generated submodules, which are Cohen–Macaulay, hence the result follows. □

To approach the classification of points in ZCM_S we need more explanations. Let M be a module over a commutative ring U, and let m be a (usually nonzero) element of M. The **positive primitive type** $p = pp_M(m)$ consists of all pp-formulae which are satisfied by m in M. Clearly this set form a **filter**, i.e. it is closed with respect to finite conjunctions and implications. The converse is also true: for each filter p in the lattice of pp-formulae there exists a module M and an element $m \in M$ such that $p = pp_M(m)$. There always exists a minimal pure injective module M realizing p, which is unique up to an isomorphism over the realization. This module is called the **pure injective envelope of** p, written $\mathrm{PE}(p)$.

We say that a pp-type p is **indecomposable** if its pure injective envelope is an indecomposable module. There is an important syntactical criterion which recognizes the indecomposability of a type. By p^- we will denote the complement of p, i.e. the collection of pp-formulae which are not in p. For an indecomposable pp-type this collections is almost a cofilter.

Fact 6.4. (see [23, Thm. 4.4]) A pp-type p is indecomposable iff for each $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in p^-$ there exists $\varphi \in p$ such that $(\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi) + (\varphi_2 \wedge \varphi) \in p^-$.

Suppose that φ and ψ are pp-formulae such that φ does not imply ψ, hence we have the nontrivial interval $[\psi \wedge \varphi, \varphi]$ in the lattice of pp-formulae. Suppose that p is a pp-type such that $\varphi \in p$ and $\psi \in p^-$. Then p defines, by restriction, a **cut** on this interval, whose upper part (i.e. the formulae in p from this interval) is a filter. The following fact shows that (like analytic functions) the pure injective envelope of p can be recovered form any cut, whatever small it be.

Fact 6.5. (see [23, Cor. 4.16]) Let p be an indecomposable pp-type with the pure injective envelope M. If $\varphi \in p$ and ψ in p^- are pp-formulae, then the isomorphism type of M is uniquely determined by the cut defined by p on the interval $[\varphi \wedge \psi; \varphi]$.

Further the open sets (φ'/ψ'), where $\psi \leq \psi' < \varphi' \leq \varphi$ and $\varphi' \in p$, $\psi' \in p^-$, form a basis of open sets for M in Ziegler topology.

For instance, the irreducibility criterion from Fact 6.4 can be used locally. Namely suppose that p is predefined by a filter in a given interval, and is indecomposable in there, i.e. satisfies the above conditions with the φ_i and φ within the interval. Then p can be extended to an indecomposable pp-type, and all such extensions (whatever different) lead to isomorphic indecomposable pure injective modules.

All this relativizes to any definable class of modules, with obvious changes. From this we derive the general approach to a classification of CM-modules over S. First choose a 'nice' interval

whose lattice structure is known. Then using Ziegler's criterion describe indecomposable pp-types in this interval (or rather cuts of such types). Finally find indecomposable pure injective modules which realize these pp-types locally. This will complete the classification.

Now we are in a position to classify points of ZCM_S.

Theorem 6.6. *Let M be an indecomposable pure injective Cohen-Macaulay module over S. Then either it is finitely generated, or M is isomorphic to one of modules Q_R, $\widetilde{R}$, N, $G_y = F((y))$ and $G_x = F((x))$.*

Proof. If M is annihilated by x^2, then it is pure injective indecomposable CM-module over R. It follows from [15] that M is one of the finitely generated modules B, C, M_k; or one of the modules $Q_R, \widetilde{R}$ or G_y.

Otherwise there exists a nonzero $m \in Mx^2$. Thus if $p = pp_M(m)$ then $x^2 \mid v \in p$ and $v = 0 \in p^-$, hence this type (therefore the module) is visible within the interval on Figure 2. Furthermore to apply the Ziegler criterion for indecomposability (see Fact 6.4) note that this interval is a distributive lattice. Thus a pp-type p within this interval is indecomposable iff p^- is a **cofilter**, i.e. $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \in p^-$ for all pp-formulas φ_i in the negative part of p.

It easily follows that any cut on the frame gives an indecomposable pp-type. The **principal cut** whose upper part is generated by one pp-formula is realized in the corresponding indecomposable finitely generated CM-module. For instance the cut whose positive part is generated by (D, x^3) corresponds to the module D.

What remains is to identify the modules which are produced by the infinitely generated cuts p_i, $i \geq 1$, and by q (see Figure 2). The latter case is easy to resolve. Namely the element $1 \in G_x = F((x))$ is divisible by any power of x, hence defines the required cut. Thus $\mathrm{PE}(q) \cong G_x$.

Now it is easily checked that the element $x^2 \in N$ realizes the pp-type whose restriction to our interval is p_1; furthermore x^k, $k > 2$ realizes in N the pp-type, whose restriction coincides with p_{k-1}. Thus we have filled in all vacant positions for points. □

Recall that a pair (φ/ψ) of pp-formulae is said to be **minimal** in a theory T if $\psi < \varphi$, and there is no pp-formula strictly between ψ and φ in the lattice of pp-formulas relativized to T.

From Fact 6.5 it is easy to construct a basis of open sets for each point visible on Figure 2. For instance we immediately obtain the following.

Lemma 6.7. *Each finitely generated point which occurs on Figure 2, but D, is isolated by a minimal pair in the theory T_{CM} of* CM*-modules over S.*

For example X_1 is isolated by the pair $mx^2 \in X_1$ over $mx^2 \in N_1$, which corresponds to the irreducible map $X_1 \to N_1$.

Now we proceed with calculating the Cantor–Bendixson rank of points. The **Cantor–Bendixson analysis** of a closed subset T of the Ziegler spectrum runs as follows. The first derivative T' is obtained from T by deleting isolated points. Those points are assigned the CB-rank 0. Further, T'' is obtained from T' by deleting its isolated points, which are assigned the CB-rank 1, and so on. If T''' is an empty set, then we say that the CB-rank of T equals 2.

There is a parallel analysis of the corresponding definable category which leads to the notion of the **Krull–Gabriel dimension** (see [9, Ch. 7]). Suppose that the **isolation condition** holds true, i.e. (see [13, Sect. 5.3.2]) on each stage of the CB-analysis, each point is isolated by the minimal pair. Then both procedures give the same value. This means that that lattice of pp-formulae of the next derivative of T is obtained from the previous one by collapsing intervals of finite length. The corresponding dimension of the lattice is called the m**-dimension** in [13, Sect. 7.7.2], and coincides with the Krull–Gabriel dimension of the definable category.

We apply this analysis to the theory T_{CM} of CM-modules over S. We will see below that each isolated point in T_{CM} is isolated by the minimal pair. It follows that the lattice of pp-formulae L_1 of T'_{CM} is obtained from the lattice L of CM-formulae over S by collapsing intervals of finite length.

All this is applicable to any given interval, say, to the one given by Figure 2. We conclude that the interval $[v = 0, x^2 \mid v]$ in T'_{CM} is the following chain of order type $1 + \omega^*$.



Now we are in a position to calculate the rank of D.

Lemma 6.8. *D is isolated in the first derivative T'_{CM} by the minimal pair (D, x^3) over (S, x^3), in particular the Cantor–Bendixson rank of D equals 1.*

Proof. From Figure 2 we conclude that the basis of open sets for D in the Ziegler topology is given by pairs of formulae $x^3 \in D$ over $nx^k \in Y_k$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, in particular D is not isolated. It remains to look at the above diagram. □

To include into consideration the module N we need one more definition. A point M in a closed set T is said to be **neg-isolated** (relative to T), if there is a nonzero $m \in M$ such that the negative part of the pp-type of m contains the largest formula. By [13, Prop. 5.3.46] this notion does not depend on the choice of m.

Lemma 6.9. *N is isolated in T'_{CM} by the minimal pair $x^2 \in S$ over $x^3 \in D$, in particular N has* CB*-rank 1. Further N is neg-isolated in T_{CM}.*

Proof. The basis of open sets for N is given by pairs $mx^k \in X_k$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ over $x^3 \in D$, in particular this point is neg-isolated and not isolated. It remains to look at the above diagram. □

Thus the only remaining point in the above interval is $G_x = \mathrm{PE}(q)$.

Lemma 6.10. *G_x has Cantor–Bendixson rank* 2*.*

Proof. From Figure 2 we see that the basis of open sets for G_x is given by pairs $x^k \in S$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ over 0, hence q is a pp-type maximal over 0 (usually called **critical**). It easily follows that G_x is not isolated on levels zero and one of the CB-analysis.

By applying the m-dimension analysis to the interval from the above diagram, we obtain the two-point interval. It follows that G_x is the only point in the interval $x^2 \in S$ over 0 in T''_{CM}. □

7. The second interval

To complete the description of topology of ZCM_S we need to calculate the bases of open sets for points from this space which are not visible on Figure 2, hence for indecomposable pure injective CM-modules over R. Here is a complete list of these points.

1) B and M_k, $k \geq 1$; **2)** C and $\widetilde{R}$; **3)** $G_y = F((y))$ and Q_R.

Furthermore (from [15]) we know that the CB-ranks of these points in the relative topology of ZCM_R are $0, 1$ and 2, but we have recalculate these ranks in the ambient space ZCM_S. For this we construct another interval in the lattice L of CM-formulae, which captures points in question.

Proposition 7.1. *The diagram on Figure 3 represents the pattern of the pointed module* (C, xy).

Proof. By inspection. A very similar proof (with a similar resulting diagram) is given in [15, Prop. 4.3]. □



Figure 3.

Note that the top pair $xy \in C$ is a free realization of the formula $vx = 0$. It follows that the interval $[v = 0, vx = 0]$ in L is generated by pp-formulae on the figure with respect to free sums, for instance it is distributive. This diagram allows us to calculate the ranks of remaining points.

Lemma 7.2. *Each finitely generated point M_k is isolated in ZCM_S by a minimal pair, and the same holds true for B.*

Proof. Directly from the diagram, because the M_k and B are sources of almost split sequences in the category of finitely generated CM-modules over S.

For instance, the module M_1 is isolated by the pair $n \in M_1$ over $(X_1, n) + (Y_2, ny)$ which corresponds to the left almost split map $M_1 \to X_1 \oplus Y_2$. □

It also follows that none of the remaining point is isolated in $T_{CM} = \mathrm{ZCM}_S$, in particular each isolated point is isolated by a minimal pair. For instance, the lattice L_1 of pp-formulae in T'_{CM} coincides with the lattice obtained from the lattice L of CM-formulae by collapsing intervals of finite length. In particular this holds true for each interval.

Lemma 7.3. *The interval $xy \in C$ over zero in L_1 is the following chain of order type $1+\mathbb{Z}+\omega^*$.*



Proof. By direct calculations. For instance the interval $n \in X_1$ over $ny \in N_1$ includes only one additional formula $(B, xy) + (N_1, ny)$, hence has length 2, and therefore becomes trivial in L_1. Similarly each consecutive interval along the southeastern ray $(X_1, n) \to (N_1, ny) \to (X_2, ny) \to \ldots$ has finite length in L, hence gets collapsed in L_1.

On the other hand, the interval (X_1, n) over (B, xy) contains the descending chain $(B, xy) + (X_{k+1}, ny^k)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, hence produces a nontrivial (in fact simple) interval in L_1. □

Recall that C and $\widetilde{R}$ have CB-rank 1 in ZCM_R, therefore their ranks in ZCM_S cannot be smaller. To our surprise these ranks are preserved in the ambient space.

Lemma 7.4. *$C = xyS$ is isolated in T'_{CM} by the minimal pair (C, xy) over (D, xy), in particular* $\mathrm{CB}(C) = 1$.

Proof. From Figure 3 we see that the basis of open sets for C is given by pairs $xy \in C$ over $(D, xy) + (M_k, n)$. By evaluating it is easily seen that any such pair is open on M_{k+1}, therefore C is not isolated.

On the other hand in L_1 the interval (C, xy) over (D, xy) is simple, hence isolates C. □

Now we proceed with $\widetilde{R}$.

Lemma 7.5. *$\widetilde{R}$ is isolated in T'_{CM} by the minimal pair (M_1, m) over (X_1, n), in particular* $\mathrm{CB}(\widetilde{R}) = 1$. *Further $\widetilde{R}$ is neg-isolated.*

Proof. From Figure 3 we see that the basis for the pointed module $(\widetilde{R}, xy)$ is given by the ray heading from (M_1, n) southeastern, hence by the pairs $ny^{k-1} \in M_k$ over $n \in X_1$. In particular the latter formula neg-isolates the pp-type of xy in $\widetilde{R}$. Since each such pair opens M_{k+1}, this point is not isolated. It remains to look at the above diagram. □

It remains to consider the points Q_R and G_y whose CB-rank is at least 2. From the above analysis it follows that the lattice of pp-formulae of T''_{CM} coincides with the lattice L_2 obtained from L_1 by collapsing the finite length intervals. From the above diagram we conclude the the interval $xy \in C$ over zero in L_2 is the following chain of length 2.



The simple intervals in this chain will catch the remaining points of ZCM_S.

Lemma 7.6. *Q_R is isolated in T''_{CM} by the minimal pair (B, xy) over 0, hence* $\mathrm{CB}(Q_R) = 2$. *Further, G_y is isolated in T''_{CM} by the minimal pair (C, xy) over (B, xy), hence* $\mathrm{CB}(G_y) = 2$.

Now we conclude on the global value of the CB-rank.

Theorem 7.7. *The Cantor–Bendixson rank of the Cohen–Macaulay part of the Ziegler spectrum of S equals 2. Further the same holds true for the Krull–Gabriel dimension of the (definable) category of Cohen–Macaulay S-modules.*

Proof. The first part follows from classification of points in ZCM_S (see Theorem 6.6), and the values of their ranks calculated in this and the previous section. Further at each stage of the CB-analysis, each isolated point is isolated by the minimal pair. It follows that the Krull–Gabriel dimension of the category of CM-modules coincides with the m-dimension of the lattice L, and with the CB-rank of the space ZCM_S, which have been already given the value. □

Note that the basic open set $(vx = 0/v = 0)$ contains all points in ZCM_S, but A and G_x.

8. Auslander–Reiten sequences

It follows from the above analysis that almost split sequences we mentioned in Section 3 preserve their defining properties in the category of all (finitely generated or not) CM-modules.

In this section we will produce few more AR-sequences that will be used in the next section to glue a surface from the category of finitely generated CM-modules over S. Though no direct reference is possible, the ideology goes back to Auslander [1] who constructed almost

split sequences in the category of all U-modules (over any ring U) targeting a finitely presented module with a local endomorphism ring. As follows from Herzog's interpretation of this result (see [7]), the source of this AR-sequence is an indecomposable pure injective module which is neg-isolated.

This was how we guessed almost split sequences in the category of CM-modules over S with infinitely generated terms. Namely there are few indecomposable infinitely generated pure injective CM-modules which are neg-isolated, hence few candidates for sources of AR-sequences. It was difficult to guess, but it is surprisingly easy to check the required properties.

Recall that N is identified with the submodule of Q_S generated by 1 and the $n_k = xy(x+y)^{-k}$. Further N' is the submodule of N generated by the n_k, hence $N' = xyQ_S$. Then the factor N/N' is isomorphic to $D = xS$ via the map which sends $\overline{1}$ to x. We will also identify $\widetilde{R}$ with the submodule $yS + N'$ of Q_S. In particular $\widetilde{R}x = Sxy$ and $\widetilde{R}/\widetilde{R}x \cong yS/xyS$, which is isomorphic to $S/xS \cong C = xyS$.

With this identification in mind we will produce the first AR-sequence with infinitely generated terms.

Lemma 8.1. *The following is an almost split sequence in the category of* CM*-modules over S.*

$$0 \to \widetilde{R} \xrightarrow{f=(\imath_1,\pi_1)} N \oplus C \xrightarrow{u=(\pi_1',-\imath_1')} D \to 0\,.$$

Here $\imath_1$ denotes the inclusion $\widetilde{R} = yS + N' \subset N$, and π_1 is the above epimorphism $\widetilde{R} \to \widetilde{R}/\widetilde{R}x \cong C$ which sends $y \in \widetilde{R}$ to $xy \in C$, hence is given by multiplication by x.

Further π_1' is a composition of the epimorphism $N \to N/N'$ with the isomorphism $N/N' \cong D = xS$ such that $1 \mapsto x$, hence is given by multiplication by x; and $\imath_1'$ is the inclusion $C \subset D$.

Proof. It is not difficult to check that this sequence is exact. For instance $uf(y) = u(y, xy) = xy - xy = 0$. Further the cokernel of f is obtained by identifying $y \in N$ with $-xy \in C$, hence kicks off N', and the resulting module is isomorphic to $N/N' = D$.

Since the endomorphism ring of the target D is local, it follows from [1, Prop. 4.4] that it suffices to check that f is left almost split. Suppose that K is a CM-module over S, and $g : \widetilde{R} \to K$ is a morphism which is not a split monomorphism. We need to find $h : N \oplus C \to K$ completing the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{R} & \xrightarrow{f} & N \oplus C \\ {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow & \swarrow_{h} & \\ K & & \end{array}$$

Note that $f(xy) = (xy, 0)$ belongs to N.

Assume first that $g(xy) \neq 0$ and let q be the pp-type of $g(xy)$ in K. Since g is not a split monomorphism, it increases the pp-type p of $xy \in \widetilde{R}$, which (see Figure 3) is neg-isolated by the formula $n \in X_1$, hence this formula is in q. Since q is a filter, by taking conjunctions we obtain that each formula in the northeastern ray starting from $n \in X_1$ belongs to p. We conclude

that q includes each formula in the pp-type of $xy \in N$. It follows that there exist a morphism $h : N \to K$ (considered as a morphism $N \oplus C \to K$) such that $g(xy) = hf(xy)$.

Thus by considering $g' = g - hf : \widetilde{R} \to N \oplus K$ we may assume that $g(xy) = 0$. Since K is Cohen–Macaulay, it follows that $g(xyQ_S) = 0$, therefore g factors through $\widetilde{R}/xyQ_S \cong C$, hence through π_1. □

By swapping C with D, and $\widetilde{R}$ with N, we obtain a 'dual' almost split sequence.

Lemma 8.2. *The following is an almost split sequence in the category of* CM*-modules over S.*

$$0 \to N \xrightarrow{f=(\imath_2,\pi_1')} \widetilde{R} \oplus D \xrightarrow{u=(\pi_1,-\imath_2')} C \to 0\,.$$

Here $\imath_2 : N \to \widetilde{R}$ and $\imath_2' : D \to C$ are given by multiplication by y. The epimorphisms π_1 and π_1' are defines in Lemma 8.1.

Proof. We will give only the beginning of the proof. The remaining part is similar to the previous lemma.

Because $uf(1) = u(y, x) = xy - xy = 0$, the composite map is zero. Further, because $y \in \widetilde{R}$ is identified with $-x \in D$, we conclude that $xy^2 = 0$ in the cokernel. Because this element equals $xy(x + y)$, we derive $xy = 0$, hence the submodule xyQ_S of $\widetilde{R}$ is annihilated. □

By projecting the above almost split sequences we produce the following irreducible maps.

Corollary 8.3. *The following morphisms are irreducible in the definable category of* CM*-modules over S.*

1) The epimorphisms $\widetilde{R} \xrightarrow{x} C$, $N \xrightarrow{x} D$, $D \xrightarrow{y} C$ and $N \xrightarrow{y} \widetilde{R}$.

2) The monomorphisms $\widetilde{R} \subset N$ and $C \subset D$.

9. The Ringel quilt

In this section we will add indecomposable infinitely generated pure injective CM-modules over S to the AR-quiver of the category CM_{fg} of finitely generated CM-modules to create the **Ringel quilt** of this category. The term is borrowed from Ringel [19], where it is called the **Auslander–Reiten quilt**.

We start with the unbridged version of the AR-quiver of the category CM_{fg}, - see Figure 1. Now we compactify (add the boundary to) this diagram taking into account the direct limits of rays, and inverse limits of corays - see Figure 4.

Namely if we identify M_k with the submodule of Q_S generated by y and $n_k = xy(x + y)^{-k}$ that the composite morphism $M_k \to M_{k+1}$ on the diagram is the inclusion. Further the pointed module $xy \in \widetilde{R}$ is the direct limit of this ray, - we add this pointed module to its end.

Going along the parallel ray $(X_1, n) \to (N_1, ny) \to \dots$ in the northeastern direction, we obtain pointed module (N, xy) as its direct limit. Add this module to the end of this this ray and attach the irreducible map $\widetilde{R} \subset N$ of these pointed modules. Now repeat this procedure with all parallel northeastern rays, for instance add irreducible maps $N \xrightarrow{y} \widetilde{R}$.



FIGURE 4.

Further attach the point $1 \in G_y$ to the end of the ray $(C, xy) \to (D, xy) \to (C, xy^2) \to \dots$, because this pointed module is the corresponding direct limit. On the other hand we attach the same module to the the beginning of the coray $\dots \to (\widetilde{R}, xy) \to (N, xy)$, because the pp-type of $1 \in G_y$ is the same as the pp-type of the inverse limit of pointed modules in this coray.

Now add the pointed module $xy \in Q_R$ to the end of the ray $(\widetilde{R}, xy) \to (N, xy) \to (\widetilde{R}, xy^2) \to \dots$ heading in southwestern direction. We will also connect $\widetilde{R}$ with the module C by the irreducible map $\widetilde{R} \xrightarrow{x} C$; and connect the next module N in the ray to the next module D by the irreducible map $N \xrightarrow{x} D$.

These four modules form the following commutative square whose edges are irreducible maps.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{R} & \xrightarrow{\subset} & N \\ {\scriptstyle x}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle x} \\ C & \xrightarrow{\subset} & D \end{array}$$

Further the next morphism $N \xrightarrow{y} \widetilde{R}$ along the southeastern ray leads to a similar commutative square.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \xrightarrow{y} & \widetilde{R} \\ {\scriptstyle x}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle x} \\ D & \xrightarrow{y} & C \end{array}$$



FIGURE 5.

Note that the sides of these squares change the direction on Figure 4 — from going south-eastern for the top maps to heading southwestern for bottom morphisms.

Gluing the boarder lines of this surface using just discussed irreducible morphisms we obtain the Möbius stripe. For instance (see Figure 5) starting from S we may go through $X_1 \to N_1 \to \dots$ along the ray heading northeastern, leave the first component of the AR-quiver when reaching N, and then enter the second component at point D. Now we could reenter the first component through a coray towards Y_1, hence complete the whole revolution by entering S. It is easily checked that the resulting map amounts to multiplication by x.

There is one misleading point in this description. The initial choice of the point D to enter (after N) the second component of the AR-quiver was arbitrary, hence in general we will not get the closed path. Furthermore, the module G_x is missing from our description. It seems that a better way to give the global picture to the category CM_{fg} is shown on Figure 6. Thus this category is the quotient of the vertical strip by the action given by the glide reflection shifting upwards.

This way we could add the point G_x to the top of this diagram, because it is the direct limit of all rays heading upwards. We will also mark on this figure the points of ZCM_S included into the basic open set $(x^2 \mid v/v = 0)$ corresponding to the first interval (see Section 5).

10. The infinite radical

In this section we will calculate the nilpotency index of the radical of the category CM_{fg} of finitely generated CM-modules over S. Recall that the **radical**, rad, of this category is defined to consist of all morphism $f : M \to N$ between modules in this category such that for each



FIGURE 6.

indecomposable $K \in \mathrm{CM}_{fg}$, any composite map $K \to M \xrightarrow{f} N \to K$ is not invertible. In follows easily that each irreducible morphism is in the radical, in fact rad is generated by irreducible morphisms.

The **transfinite powers** of the radical, rad^λ, are defined as follows. Set $\mathrm{rad}^1 = \mathrm{rad}$. If λ is a limit ordinal, then define $\mathrm{rad}^\lambda = \cap_{\mu<\lambda} \mathrm{rad}^\mu$. Otherwise $\lambda = \nu + k$ for a limit ordinal ν, hence set $\mathrm{rad}^\lambda = (\mathrm{rad}^\nu)^{k+1}$. For instance rad^ω is known as the **infinite radical**.

The least ordinal λ such that $\mathrm{rad}^\lambda = 0$ (if exists) is called the **nilpotency index of the radical**, nil(rad). If it is defined, each morphism f in CM_{fg} is assigned its **nilpotency index**, nil(f), as the least μ such that $f \in \mathrm{rad}^\mu \setminus \mathrm{rad}^{\mu+1}$. Thus the nilpotency index of the radical is the supremum of indices of morphisms between indecomposable modules.

Here is he main result of this section.

Theorem 10.1. *The nilpotency index of the radical of the category of finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay S-modules equals $\omega \cdot 2$.*

Recall that each ordinal can be uniquely written in the form $\omega^{\lambda_1} n_1 + \cdots + \omega^{\lambda_k} n_k$ for some ordinals $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_k$, and some natural numbers n_i. Thus $\omega \cdot 2$ is the standard form for $\omega + \omega$.

One implication in this theorem is easy. Namely the 'one revolution' map $f : S \xrightarrow{x} S$ is in the infinite radical, hence its power f^{k+1} is a nonzero map in $\mathrm{rad}^{\omega+k}$, as desired.

To prove the converse let us make the following remark. Suppose that $f : M \to N$ be a morphism between indecomposable modules in CM_{fg} which belongs to the infinite radical. If M lies in the top component of the AR-quiver on Figure 4 (i.e. M is C or D) then, factoring through irreducible maps, we conclude that f splits through a module in the second component. Similarly if M is in the second component, then f splits through the multiplication by x.

It follows that if $f \in \mathrm{rad}^{\omega \cdot 2}$ then for each $m \in M$ its image $f(m)$ is divisible by any power of x. Looking at the Figure 2 we conclude that $f(m) = 0$, hence $f = 0$. From this the result easily follows.

References

[1] M. Auslander, Functors and morphisms determined by objects, pp. 1–112 in: Representation Theory of Algebras, Proceedings, Philadelphia Conference, R. Gordon ed., 1978.

[2] W. Brungs, J. Herzog, Cohen–Macaulay Rings, revised edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, 2005.

[3] R.O. Buchweitz, G.M. Greuel, F.O. Schreyer, Cohen–Macaulay modules over hypersurface singularities II, Invent. Math., 88 (1987), 165–182.

[4] W.W. Crawley-Boevey, Maps between representations of zero relation algebras, J. Algebra, 126 (1989), 259–263.

[5] W.W. Crawley-Boevey, Infinite dimensional modules in the representation theory of finite dimensional algebras, pp. 29–54 in: Algebras and Modules I, Canad. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc., Vol. 23, AMS, 1998.

[6] D. Eisenbud, Commutative Algebra with a View Towards Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 150, Springer, 1994.

[7] I. Herzog, The Auslander–Reiten translate, pp. 153–165 in: Abelian Groups and Noncommutative Rings, Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 130, 1992.

[8] I. Herzog, P. Rothmaler, Pure projective approximations, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 146 (2009), 83–94.

[9] H. Krause, The Spectrum of a Module Category, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 149 (707), 2001.

[10] R. Laking, M. Prest, G. Puninski, Krull–Gabriel dimension of domestic string algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.

[11] G.J. Leuschke, R. Wiegandt, Cohen–Macalay Representations, AMS Mathematicas Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 181, 2012.

[12] E. Matlis, 1-dimensional Cohen–Macaulay Rings, Lect. Notes in Math., Vol. 327, Springer, 1973.

[13] M. Prest, Purity, Spectra and Localization, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 121, Cambridge Univesity Press, 2009.

[14] M. Prest, G. Puninski, One-directed indecomposable pure injective modules over string algebras, Colloq. Math., 101 (2004), 89–112.

[15] G. Puninski, The Ziegler spectrum and Ringel's quilt of the A-infinity plane singularity, arXiv:1606.02085.

[16] G. Puninski, C. Toffalori, Decidability of modules over a Bézout domain $D + XQ[X]$ with D a principal ideal domain and Q its field of fractions, J. Symb. Logic, 79 (2014), 296–305.

[17] C.M. Ringel, Tame algebas (On algorithm of solving vector space problems. II), pp. 137–287 in: Proc. Ottawa Conference in Representation Theory, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 831, 1980.

[18] C.M. Ringel, Infinite length modules. Some examples as introduction, pp. 1–73 in: Infinite Length Modules, eds. H. Krause and C.M. Ringel, Birhäuser, 2000.

[19] C.M. Ringel, The minimal representation-infinite algebras which are special biserial, pp. 501–560 in: Representations of algebras and related topics, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011.

[20] F.O. Schreyer, Finite and countable CM-representation type, pp. 9–34 in: Singularities, representation of algebras, and vector bundles, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1273, Springer, 1987.

[21] J. Schröer, On the infinite radical of a module category, Proc. London Math. Soc., 81 (2000), 651–674.

[22] Y. Yoshino, Cohen–Macaulay Modules over Cohen–Macaulay Rings, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, Vol. 146, Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[23] M. Ziegler, Model theory of modules, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic, 26 (1984), 149–213.

Belarusian State University, Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, av. Nezalezhnosti 4, Minsk 220030, Belarus

E-mail address: innalos.los1@gmail.com, punins@mail.ru